IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 11352 of 2004

Between:
Sri.Kalagara Vigneswara Rao, S/o late Subbarao,
R/o Buttaigudem Village & Mandal, W.G.Dist.
..... PETITIONER

AND
1 The Superintendent of Police, W.G.Dist., Eluru.
2 The Sub Divisional Magistrate/Revenue Divisional Officer, Jangareddygudem, W.G.Dist.
3 The M.R.O, Buttaigudem Mandal, W.G.Dist.
4 The Sub-Inspector of police, Buttaigudem P.S., Buttaigudem, W.G.Dist.
.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue order direction writ more particularly writ of mandamus declare the action of the respondents in not taking the action on the complaint dt.17.6.04 as illegal arbitrary and contrary to the provisions of C.R.P.C and consequently direct the respondents to providing protecion to the petitioner's land situated in R.S.No.637 an extent of Ac.9.00 cts of Buttaigudem Village and Mandal, W.G. District

For the Petitioner: MR.P.R.K.AMERANDRA KUMAR, Advocate

For the Respondents: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following ORDER:

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the inaction of the respondents in taking appropriate action on the complaint of the petitioner dated 17.06.2004.

2. According to the petitioner, he is a non-tribal and owner of the land in an extent of Ac.9.00 cents in R.S.No.637 of Buttaigudem Village and Mandal, West Godavari District, which is situated in the scheduled area. As the petitioner was not being allowed to carry on agricultural operations by the tribals, he made a complaint to the respondents. But the respondents did not take any action. Therefore, the petitioner has come up with the present writ petition.

3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Home.

4. As the respondents have not taken any action on the complaint of the petitioner dated 17.06.2004, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to take appropriate action so as to give protection to the petitioner and see that he will be allowed to carry on agricultural operations, without there being any interference by the tribals.

5. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of at the admission stage.

06.07.2004

va

To

1 The Superintendent of Police, W.G.Dist., Eluru.
2 The Sub Divisional Magistrate/Revenue Divisional Officer, Jangareddygudem, W.G.Dist.
3 The M.R.O, Buttaigudem Mandal, W.G.Dist.
4 The Sub-Inspector of police, Buttaigudem P.S., Buttaigudem, W.G.Dist.
5 Two CCs to G.P. for Home, High Court of A.P. Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)

6 Two CD Copies